

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2006

AP	PLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
,	10/657,820	09/0	8/2003	Ashok V. Joshi	_	MIC-031103	1133	
	55162	7590	09/21/2006			EXAMINER		
	CERAMATEC, INC. 2425 SOUTH 900 WEST					EREZO, DARWIN P		
	SALT LAKE				.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
					•	3731		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(.					
Advisory Action	10/657,820	JOSHI, ASHOK V.	C.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Darwin P. Erezo	3731						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe								
			ress					
	EREPLY FILED <u>29 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of							
this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any example patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a since a Notice of Appeal has been filed.</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.					
AMENDMENTS								
3.   ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co			because					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	,	TE below),						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) $\square$ They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			(DTO) 004)					
<ul> <li>In the amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>In Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
<ul><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li><li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li></ul>	· ——	timely filed amendm	ent canceling					
the non-allowable claim(s).	memable ii eabiiiiiiea iii a eeparate	, amony mod amonan	ioni ourioomig					
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		rill be entered and an	explanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: <u>39</u> .								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>17-23, 26-29, 34-36, 40, 41, 55 and 60-65</u>								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-16,24,25,30-33</u> AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	3,37,38,42-54,56-59 and 64.							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
<ul> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ul>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:					
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13.								

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments to claims 17 and 34 changes the scope of the claimed invention and would require further consideration and an updated search. Both claims now recite an additional structure not previously considered, i.e., an osmotic membrane being positioned between the cavity and the chamber.

ANHTUANT. NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER